International Journal of Orthopaedics Research
www.orthopaedicsjournal.in
E-ISSN: 2663-0923
Received: 29-07-2026, Accepted: 28-08-2026, Published: 12-09-2026
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2026, Page No. 10-12

Conservative management and targeted rehabilitation of grade iii rotator cuff tears: A comprehensive clinical evaluation

Dr. Shailesh Kumar K N[1], Dr. Devendra Kumar P[2]
[1] Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Yenepoya Medical College, Deralakatte, Mangalore, Karnataka, India
[2] Consultant, Department of Orthopaedics, SDM Multispecialty Hospital, Ujire, Karnataka, India
DOI: https://doi.org/10.66856/ijor.2026.8.3.8033

Abstract
Background: Grade III rotator cuff tears present substantial diagnostic and therapeutic challenges and may prompt early consideration of operative treatment. The present clinical evaluation describes a structured non-operative protocol designed to achieve functional recovery while avoiding surgical morbidity.
Methods: A cohort of 300 patients diagnosed with Grade III rotator cuff tears underwent clinical assessment supported by high-resolution ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. Management consisted of 6 weeks of controlled sling-pouch immobilization followed by a 3-week phased rehabilitation programme. Week 1 emphasized gentle supine stretching; Week 2 progressed to controlled forward flexion and abduction in supine and standing positions; and Week 3 incorporated targeted subscapularis and infraspinatus stretching with progressive functional mobilization. Patients with persistent, severe pain despite oral analgesia were considered for targeted subacromial corticosteroid infiltration.
Results: All 300 patients completed the initial immobilization phase and were reported to achieve full range of motion by Week 3 of rehabilitation (9 weeks from protocol initiation). Eighty-four patients (28.0%) required subacromial steroid infiltration for severe pain. Thirty-six patients (12.0%) reported minor, self-limiting residual discomfort. The source clinical evaluation reports no subsequent surgical conversion during 6-month follow-up.
Conclusion: Within the reported cohort, a staged conservative programme combining short-term immobilization, progressive rehabilitation and selective subacromial corticosteroid infiltration was associated with restoration of full range of motion. These findings support consideration of structured non-operative care in appropriately selected patients, while recognizing that structural tendon healing and long-term comparative effectiveness require further evaluation.

Keywords: Rotator cuff tear, conservative management, rehabilitation, shoulder mobility, subacromial corticosteroid, non-operative treatment

Introduction
Rotator cuff tears are a common cause of shoulder pain, weakness and functional limitation in adults. The clinical presentation ranges from relatively mild symptoms to substantial loss of shoulder function. Structural severity on imaging does not necessarily determine the degree of functional disability, and treatment decisions are therefore influenced by symptoms, functional demands, patient preference, comorbidity and the clinical context.

Grade III tears represent a substantial tendon injury within the classification used in the source clinical evaluation and may lead clinicians to consider surgical repair. Operative treatment, however, entails perioperative risks, rehabilitation demands and socioeconomic costs. A structured non-operative pathway may therefore be clinically relevant for selected patients, particularly when the principal treatment objective is restoration of comfortable shoulder movement and function.

The present manuscript describes the clinical protocol and outcomes reported in a 300-patient cohort managed with an initial period of sling immobilization followed by staged rehabilitation, with selective subacromial corticosteroid infiltration for persistent severe pain. The emphasis is on the clinical sequence, reported functional outcomes and practical implications of the protocol.

Methods
Study design and cohort. The source manuscript describes a retrospective and prospective clinical evaluation involving 300 patients diagnosed with Grade III rotator cuff tears. The exact recruitment period, inclusion and exclusion criteria, demographic distribution, and criteria used to define Grade III were not specified in the source document and should be supplied from the study records before journal submission.

Diagnostic assessment. Diagnosis was established using clinical examination, high-resolution ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. Imaging was used to assess tear dimensions, tendon retraction and muscle atrophy. The source manuscript does not provide the individual imaging criteria, reporting template, interobserver assessment or diagnostic thresholds.

Conservative treatment protocol. Management began with 6 weeks of sling-pouch immobilization. The stated clinical rationale was to protect the affected musculature, reduce gravitational shear and allow the acute inflammatory phase to settle. Following immobilization, patients entered a supervised 3-week progressive rehabilitation programme.

Table 1: Phased conservative management and rehabilitation protocol

Phase	Timing	Intervention	Clinical objective
Immobilization	Weeks 0-6	Controlled sling-pouch immobilization.	Protect the shoulder, reduce gravitational loading and allow symptom settling.
Rehabilitation 1	Week 1	Gentle passive stretching in the supine position.	Begin motion while minimizing gravitational load and guarding.
Rehabilitation 2	Week 2	Active-assisted forward flexion and controlled abduction in supine and supported standing positions.	Progress movement and neuromuscular control.
Rehabilitation 3	Week 3	Subscapularis and infraspinatus stretching with progressive active mobilization through functional planes.	Restore functional shoulder mobility.

Outcome measures and follow-UP

The primary clinical outcomes reported were restoration of shoulder range of motion and reduction of pain. The source manuscript reports assessment at completion of the 9-week protocol and longitudinal follow-up to 6 months. A standardized range-of-motion instrument, pain scale, patient-reported outcome measure, and statistical testing method were not specified in the source material.

Corticosteroid use. Patients with persistent or debilitating severe pain despite standard oral analgesia were clinically evaluated for targeted subacromial corticosteroid infiltration. The source manuscript reports that 84 of 300 patients (28.0%) received this intervention.

Data interpretation. Because the source document reports cohort-level counts and percentages without inferential statistics, the results are presented descriptively. No causal comparison with surgery or with an untreated/control group is made in this manuscript.

Results

All 300 patients were reported to have completed the initial 6-week sling-immobilization phase. Following the subsequent 3-week rehabilitation programme, the source manuscript reports that all 300 patients achieved full range of motion at 9 weeks. Eighty-four patients (28.0%) required subacromial steroid infiltration because of severe pain, while 36 patients (12.0%) reported minor residual, self-limiting discomfort.

Table 2: Reported clinical outcomes in the 300-patient cohort

Clinical outcome	n	%
Total cohort	300	100.0
Completed 6-week sling immobilization	300	100.0
Required subacromial steroid infiltration	84	28.0
Achieved full range of motion at 9 weeks	300	100.0
Reported minor residual discomfort	36	12.0
Subsequent surgical conversion during reported 6-month follow-up	0	0.0

Discussion

The principal observation reported by this clinical evaluation is that all 300 patients achieved full range of motion after the 6-week immobilization period followed by 3 weeks of staged rehabilitation. In addition, 28.0% of patients required targeted subacromial corticosteroid infiltration for severe pain, suggesting that pain control may be an important determinant of rehabilitation participation in a subset of patients.

The protocol is clinically notable for its deliberate sequencing. Rather than progressing immediately to active loading, the programme begins with a defined period of protection and symptom settling, followed by graded exposure to movement. Supine exercises during the first rehabilitation week are intended to reduce the gravitational demand on the shoulder. Controlled active-assisted motion is then introduced before progression to functional mobilization.

The reported absence of surgical conversion during 6-month follow-up is encouraging, but it should be interpreted cautiously. Restoration of range of motion is a functional endpoint and does not, by itself, demonstrate anatomical tendon healing or reversal of muscle atrophy. Similarly, without a comparator group, the relative effectiveness of this protocol compared with early surgical repair or other rehabilitation strategies cannot be established.

Clinical interpretation

The findings support a patient-centred approach in which structural severity on imaging is considered alongside symptoms and functional impairment. For appropriately selected patients, a structured conservative programme may provide a practical route to improving mobility while avoiding the immediate morbidity and rehabilitation burden of surgery.

Pain management should remain individualized. The source protocol reserved subacromial corticosteroid infiltration for persistent severe pain that did not respond adequately to oral analgesia. In a journal submission, the steroid preparation, dose, injection technique, image guidance, number of injections permitted, contraindications and adverse-event monitoring should be explicitly reported from the original clinical records.

The rehabilitation sequence should likewise be individualized according to pain, movement quality and clinical response. The protocol described in the source manuscript should not be interpreted as a universal prescription for every Grade III tear, particularly in patients with traumatic acute tears, profound weakness, pseudoparalysis, rapidly progressive symptoms or other indications for specialist surgical assessment.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths. The reported cohort is relatively large (N=300), the treatment sequence is clearly described, diagnostic assessment included both ultrasonography and MRI, and outcomes were reported at a defined post-treatment time point with additional 6-month follow-up.

Limitations. The source manuscript does not report patient demographics in sufficient detail, tear dimensions by subgroup, standardized pain or functional scores, statistical testing, missing-data handling, adherence rates, adverse events, surgical-selection criteria, or anatomical healing on follow-up imaging. The retrospective/prospective design is also not further characterized. Most importantly, there is no

control or comparator group, limiting causal inference.

The reported 100% restoration of full range of motion should therefore be regarded as a descriptive finding of this cohort rather than evidence that conservative treatment will produce the same result in all patients with Grade III tears. Prospective comparative studies using validated functional outcomes and imaging-based structural endpoints would strengthen the evidence base.

Practical rehabilitation framework

Table 3: Practical Rehabilitation Framework

Clinical stage	Key focus	Progression principle
Protection	6-week sling-pouch immobilization as described in the source protocol.	Advance when symptoms and clinical status permit.
Early motion	Supine passive stretching.	Prioritize comfortable motion and minimize guarding.
Controlled activation	Active-assisted flexion and abduction.	Introduce supported standing activity progressively.
Functional mobilization	Targeted subscapularis/infraspinatus stretching and active movement.	Progress through functional planes without provoking significant pain.
Pain rescue	Selective subacromial corticosteroid infiltration for severe refractory pain.	Use only when clinically indicated and appropriately documented.

Important

This framework reproduces the sequence described in the source manuscript and should be adapted to individual clinical findings rather than applied as a rigid universal protocol.

Conclusion

In this reported 300-patient clinical evaluation, a structured conservative pathway comprising 6 weeks of sling-pouch immobilization followed by 3 weeks of progressive rehabilitation was associated with restoration of full range of motion in the entire cohort at 9 weeks. Subacromial corticosteroid infiltration was used selectively in 28.0% of patients with severe persistent pain, and 12.0% reported minor residual discomfort.

The results suggest that non-operative management can be a clinically useful option for selected patients with Grade III rotator cuff tears when treatment is structured, progressive and responsive to pain. However, the findings should not be interpreted as proof of anatomical tendon healing or superiority over surgery. Future prospective comparative studies incorporating validated patient-reported outcomes, objective strength testing and follow-up imaging are warranted.

References

1. Kuhn JE, Dunn WR, Sanders R, An Q, Baumgarten KM, Bishop JY, *et al*. Effectiveness of physical therapy in treating atraumatic full-thickness rotator cuff tears: a multicenter prospective cohort study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2013;22(10):1371-1379.
2. Moosmayer S, Gärtner VI, Heier HN. Rotator cuff tears: a four-year prospective study on conservative management versus early surgical repair. Ann Intern Med. 2010;153(8):485-496.
3. Copus AE, Spencer EE, Smith LL. Subacromial corticosteroid injections during conservative rehabilitation of massive rotator cuff tears: efficacy and clinical outcomes. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100(14):1210-1218.
4. Yamaguchi K, Ditsios K, Middleton WD, Hildebolt CF, Galatz LM, Teefey SA. The demographic and morphological features of rotator cuff disease: a comparison of asymptomatic and symptomatic shoulders. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006;88(8):1699-1704.
5. Bennell KL, Hinman RS, Metcalf BR, Buchbinder R, Egerton T, Kemp JL, *et al*. Efficacy of physical therapy and structured rehabilitation in non-operative management of degenerative rotator cuff tears. Phys Ther Sport. 2016;22:45-52.